arXiv:1402.6237v2 [math.AP] 26 Feb 2014

A NEW MONOTONICITY FORMULA FOR SOLUTIONS TO THE ELLIPTIC SYSTEM $\Delta u = \nabla W(u)$

CHRISTOS SOURDIS

Abstract. Using a physically motivated stress energy tensor, we prove weak and strong monotonicity formulas for solutions to the semilinear elliptic system $\Delta u = \nabla W(u)$ with W nonnegative. In particular, we extend a recent two dimensional result of [12] to all dimensions.

Consider the semilinear elliptic system

$$\Delta u = \nabla W(u) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{0.1}$$

where $W \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^m;\mathbb{R})$, $m \geq 1$, is *nonnegative*.

In the scalar case, namely $m = 1$, Modica [7] used the maximum principle to show that every bounded solution to (0.1) satisfies the pointwise gradient bound

$$\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 \leq W(u) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{0.2}$$

(see also [3]). Using this, together with Pohozaev identities, it was shown in [8] that the following strong monotonicity property holds:

$$\frac{d}{dR}\left(\frac{1}{R^{n-1}}\int_{B_R}\left\{\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + W(u)\right\}dx\right) \geq 0, \quad R > 0, \tag{0.3}$$

where B_R stands for the n-dimensional ball of radius R that is centered at 0 (keep in mind that (0.1) is translation invariant).

In the vectorial case, that is $m \geq 2$, in the absence of the maximum principle, it is not true in general that the gradient bound (0.2) holds (see [12] for a counterexample). Nevertheless, it was shown in [1], using a physically motivated stress energy tensor, that every solution to (0.1) satisfies the weak monotonicity property:

$$\frac{d}{dR}\left(\frac{1}{R^{n-2}}\int_{B_R}\left\{\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + W(u)\right\}dx\right) \geq 0, \quad R > 0, \quad n \geq 2, \tag{0.4}$$

where $|\nabla u|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |u_{x_i}|^2$ (for related results, obtained via Pohozaev identities, see [2], [4] and [9]). In fact, as was observed in [1], if u additionally satisfies the vector analog of Modica's gradient bound (0.2), we have the strong monotonicity property (0.3).

Interesting applications of these formulas can be found in the aforementioned references. The importance of monotonicity formulas in the study of nonlinear partial differential equations is also highlighted in the recent article [5].

Recently, it was proven in [12] that, if u is a bounded solution to the scalar problem with $n = 2$, we have that

$$\frac{d}{dR}\left(\frac{1}{R}\int_{B_R} W(u)\,dx\right) \geq 0, \quad R > 0. \tag{0.5}$$

This was accomplished by deriving an alternative form of the stress energy tensor for solutions defined in planar domains, and by giving a geometric interpretation of Modica's estimate (0.2). We emphasize that the interesting techniques in [12] are intrinsically two dimensional and seem hard to generalize to higher dimensions.

Interestingly enough, in the vector case, it is stated in [6] (without proof) that Pohozaev identities imply that solutions to the Ginzburg-Landau system

$$\Delta u = \left(|u|^2-1\right)u, \quad u:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^m, \quad \left(\text{here } W(u)=\frac{\left(1-|u|^2\right)^2}{4}\right),$$

with $n\geq 2, m\geq 2$, satisfy the weak monotonicity property

$$\frac{d}{dR}\left(\frac{1}{R^{n-2}}\int_{B_R}\left\{\frac{n-2}{2}|\nabla u|^2+n\frac{\left(1-|u|^2\right)^2}{4}\right\}dx\right)\geq 0, \quad R>0. \tag{0.6}$$

It is tempting to wonder whether there is a strong version of (0.6), that is with $n-1$ in place of $n-2$, in the scalar case (for any smooth $W\geq 0$), which for $n=2$ gives (0.5). In this note, by appropriately modifying the systematic approach of [1], we prove the following general result which, in particular, confirms this connection.

Theorem 0.1. If $u\in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^m)$, $n\geq 2, m\geq 1$, solves (0.1) with $W\in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m;\mathbb{R})$ *nonnegative*, we have the weak monotonicity formula:

$$\frac{d}{dR}\left(\frac{1}{R^{n-2}}\int_{B_R}\left\{\frac{n-2}{2}|\nabla u|^2+nW(u)\right\}dx\right)\geq 0, \quad R>0. \tag{0.7}$$

In addition, if u satisfies Modica's gradient bound, that is

$$\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2\leq W(u) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{0.8}$$

we have the strong monotonicity formula:

$$\frac{d}{dR}\left(\frac{1}{R^{n-1}}\int_{B_R}\left\{\frac{n-2}{2}|\nabla u|^2+nW(u)\right\}dx\right)\geq 0, \quad R>0. \tag{0.9}$$

Proof. By means of a direct calculation, it was shown in [1] that, for solutions u to (0.1), the stress energy tensor $T(u)$, which is defined as the $n\times n$ matrix with entries

$$T_{ij}=u_{,i}\cdot u_{,j}-\delta_{ij}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2+W(u)\right), \quad i,j=1,\cdots,n, \ (\text{where } u_{,i}=u_{x_i}),$$

satisfies

$$\text{div}T(u)=0, \tag{0.10}$$

using the notation $T=(T_1,T_2,\cdots,T_n)^\top$ and $\text{div}T=(\text{div}T_1,\text{div}T_2,\cdots,\text{div}T_n)^\top$, (see also [11]). Observe that

$$\text{tr}T=-\left(\frac{n-2}{2}|\nabla u|^2+nW(u)\right), \tag{0.11}$$

and that

$$T+\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2+W(u)\right)I_n=(\nabla u)^\top(\nabla u)\geq 0 \quad (\text{in the matrix sense}), \tag{0.12}$$

where I_n stands for the $n\times n$ identity matrix.

As in [10], writing $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$, and making use of (0.10), we calculate that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_{B_R} (x_i T_{ij})_{,j}\, dx = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_{B_R} \{\delta_{ij} T_{ij} + x_i (T_{ij})_{,j}\}\, dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B_R} T_{ii} dx. \tag{0.13}$$

On the other side, from the divergence theorem, denoting $\nu = x/R$, and making use of (0.12), we find that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_{B_R} (x_i T_{ij})_{,j}\, dx = R \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_{\partial B_R} \nu_i T_{ij} \nu_j dS \geq -R \int_{\partial B_R} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + W(u) \right) dS. \tag{0.14}$$

Since W is nonnegative, if $n \geq 3$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + W(u) \leq \frac{1}{n-2} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + n W(u) \right). \tag{0.15}$$

Let

$$f(R) = \int_{B_R} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + n W(u) \right) dx, \quad R > 0.$$

By combining (0.11), (0.13), (0.14) and (0.15), for $n \geq 3$, we arrive at

$$-f(R) \geq -\frac{R}{n-2} \frac{d}{dR} f(R), \quad R > 0,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{d}{dR} \left(R^{2-n} f(R) \right) \geq 0, \quad R > 0,$$

(clearly this also holds for $n = 2$). We have thus shown the first assertion of the theorem.

Suppose that u additionally satisfies Modica's gradient bound (0.8). Then, we can strengthen (0.15), for $n \geq 2$, by noting that

$$\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + W(u) = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + (n-1) W(u) \right) \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + n W(u) \right).$$

Now, by combining (0.11), (0.13), (0.14) and the above relation, we arrive at

$$-f(R) \geq -\frac{R}{n-1} \frac{d}{dR} f(R), \quad R > 0,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{d}{dR} \left(R^{1-n} f(R) \right) \geq 0, \quad R > 0,$$

as desired. □

References

[1] N. D. Alikakos, *Some basic facts on the system* $\Delta u - W_u(u) = 0$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), 153-162.

[2] F. Bethuel, H. Brezis, and G. Orlandi, *Asymptotics of the Ginzburg-Landau equation in arbitrary dimensions*, J. Funct. Anal. **186** (2001), 432-520.

[3] L. Caffarelli, N. Garofalo, and F. Segála, *A gradient bound for entire solutions of quasi–linear equations and its consequences*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **47** (1994), 1457-1473.

[4] L. A. Caffarelli, and F. Lin, *Singularly perturbed elliptic systems and multi-valued harmonic functions with free boundaries*, Journal of AMS **21** (2008), 847–862.

[5] L. C. Evans, *Monotonicity formulae for variational problems*, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A **371** (2013), 20120339.

[6] A. Farina, *Two results on entire solutions of Ginzburg-Landau system in higher dimensions*, J. Funct. Anal. **214** (2004), 386-395.
[7] L. Modica, *A gradient bound and a Liouville theorem for nonlinear Poisson equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), 679–684.
[8] L. Modica, *Monotonicity of the energy for entire solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, in Partial differential equations and the calculus of variations, Essays in honor of Ennio De Giorgi, Vol. 2, edited by F. Colombini, A. Marino, and L. Modica. Birkhauser, Boston, MA, 1989, 843-850.
[9] T. Riviére, *Line vortices in the $U(1)$-Higgs model*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **1** (1996), 77-167.
[10] R. Schoen, Lecture notes on general relativity, Stanford University, 2009, see also http://math.stanford.edu/~schoen/trieste2012/
[11] E. Sandier, and S. Serfaty, Vortices in the magnetic Ginzburg-Landau model, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997.
[12] P. Smyrnelis, *Gradient estimates for semilinear elliptic systems and other related results*, arXiv:1401.4847

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Crete.
E-mail address: csourdis@tem.uoc.gr